REDACTED EXHIBIT 8

	ICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	F NEW YORK
SIMO HOLDING, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Civil Action No.
HONG KONG UCLOUDLINK NETWORK TECHNOLOGY LIMITED AND UCLOUDLINK (AMERICA), LTD.,) 18-cv-5427-(JSR)))
Defendants.)
DEDOCTETON OF TOWN I	TIANTOTAT
DEPOSITION OF JOHN I	. HANSEN
Wednesday, February	
	13, 2019
Wednesday, February	13, 2019
Wednesday, February	13, 2019
Wednesday, February San Francisco, Cal	13, 2019
Wednesday, February	13, 2019

- worldwide sales were, but there was some information
- in the record that provided a summary of historical
- 3 sales and projection that provided a breakdown that
- 4 showed a substantial majority of Skyroam sales
- 5 and -- were occurring in the United States. And my
- 6 understanding is a lot of uCloudlink sales occur
- overseas. So you would have to look at the
- 8 distribution channels, the customers, the partners,
- 9 to understand whether or not they were even
- 10 positioned to compete.
- So, for example, if a customer purchased a
- 12 uCloudlink device in Hong Kong, depending upon where
- 13 they purchased it, how they purchased it, Skyroam
- 14 may or may not have competed for that sale. There
- has been no analysis of that by Mr. Martinez.
- 16 Q. Well, do they compete for sales in the
- 17 United States?
- 18 A. It would depend.
- 19 Q. Depend on what?
- 20 A. It would depend on the customer and
- whether or not Skyroam actually competed to make a
- sale to that customer. So they both have sales
- 23 available through e-commerce channels. They have
- different rental partners. So you could have a
- 25 consumer that's in a location that only has a

- in the United States or people that were -- or, let
- 2 me strike that. That's a confusing question.
- 3 So is there any indication in the data of
- 4 what devices are using that data?
- 5 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 6 Q. How does -- if you know, how does
- 7 uCloudlink determine how much data is consumed in
- 8 the United States?
- 9 A. Technically, I'm not aware of the
- mechanisms they used.
- 11 Q. Okay. Someone living in the United States
- 12 presumably would not need to buy U.S. day pass
- because they could just use their cell phone that
- 14 they have here in the United States, right?
- MR. BUSBY: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: That's one possibility.
- 17 BY MR. DAVIS:
- 18 Q. Did you look at any data that was used
- outside of the United States?
- 20 A. The information that I had on total data
- use came from informational summary of uCloudlink.
- 22 So it wasn't granular in nature, but it did provide
- an overall average daily gigabytes of data use for
- 24 worldwide for uCloudlink.
- Q. Okay. Did you try to determine how much

- data was used by U.S. citizens who had purchased an
- ² uCloudlink device?
- 3 A. I did not.
- Q. So to give you an example, if someone had
- 5 purchased a uCloudlink hotspot here in the United
- 6 States, but then traveled to Spain, and used data in
- 7 Spain, does your damages analysis capture data usage
- in Spain for that U.S. consumer who bought a Wi-Fi
- 9 hotspot here?
- 10 A. The data usage that I have utilized is
- data usage within the United States. So I haven't
- used -- I didn't -- that information is not
- available, as I understand it, that would allow you
- 14 to track data usage to a particular device, and the
- 15 location of where that data was used, in particular.
- 16 Q. Do you think it would be appropriate to
- include, in the royalty base, data that was used
- abroad by a United States person who bought a
- 19 uCloudlink device in the United States?
- MR. BUSBY: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.
- 22 BY MR. DAVIS:
- 23 Q. Why not?
- A. My understanding is that use of a device
- overseas would not be active infringement. Selling

- data services, in and of themselves, is not an act
- of infringement. So the usage that's occurring
- overseas would not be subject to United States
- 4 patent law.
- 5 Q. But your smallest salable practicing --
- 6 patent-practicing unit is not a service, it's just
- 7 components of a device, right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And so how can you say that's the smallest
- salable patent-practicing unit and yet not encompass
- 11 for the data for which you say damages -- or the
- 12 royalty base should be made up of?
- A. Because the device has to be used with
- data. So what I've done is, I've taken data that's
- 15 actually used in the United States as a surrogate or
- basis to understand the relative value of offering
- 17 their combined product and service for use in the
- United States. So they're going to be products, as
- 19 I understand, that could have been sold overseas.
- That would not be an infringing sale, that could be
- 21 brought to the United States and used. And I've
- used -- I've included that in the royalty base any
- data that that product used. My understanding is
- that selling the data service in the U.S. doesn't
- infringe. Selling the product overseas doesn't

- 1 customers in the United States even though it may be
- for somewhere outside the United States, for
- 3 example, Spain?
- A. Could you repeat that, please?
- Q. Sure.
- 6 Would Skyroam take into account, during
- 7 the hypothetical negotiation, data purchased by
- 8 customers in the United States, even though that
- 9 data may be used somewhere outside the United
- 10 States?
- 11 A. I think it's a consideration. The
- 12 relative importance of the United States market
- versus other markets. The relative data usage,
- device sales, those are all pieces of information.
- Q. Earlier you used, I believe, the
- phrase "closed system."
- Do you recall that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And so -- and I -- correct me if I get
- this wrong, but I believe you described meaning that
- once you buy a Skyroam device you have to use the
- 22 Skyroam network. And once you buy a uCloudlink
- device, you have to use an uCloudlink network, is
- that sort of a fair example?
- 25 A. Well, you don't have to use it. But the

- is -- is it why do I have a U.S.-based cell phone?
- 2 I'm not following your question.
- Q. No. I'm just saying that the reason why
- 4 people buy U.S. data for uCloudlink and Skyroam is
- because they're traveling from abroad into the
- 6 United States and they want to use their data at a
- lower roaming rate than their roaming rate, right?
- 8 A. My understanding is that's the primary use
- 9 case, not just for the United States, but worldwide.
- 10 Q. Okay. And those people that are traveling
- internationally into United States to use U.S. data
- 12 are not subscribed to U.S. MNOs such as T-Mobile,
- 13 Verizon or AT&T, are they?
- 14 A. I wouldn't expect that they would be.
- 15 They may be.
- Q. Well, in order to take advantage of the
- U.S. MNO day passes, you have to be subscribed to
- 18 their monthly service, correct?
- 19 A. That would be my understanding, yes.
- Q. So you can't just buy a day pass from AT&T
- 21 and Verizon, and T-Mobile, without also having a --
- some sort of monthly or annual service contract,
- 23 correct?
- A. If I followed your question, you need to
- 25 be a subscriber to that network?

212 My question was not related to 1 2 Mr. Martinez's opinions at all. It was just if you 3 know whether or not uCloudlink's direct-to-business consumers were buying any associated services or products such as PaaS or SIM banks. б I would have to look back at the source 7 documents. As I mentioned previously in this 8 deposition, the 12 Ο. Right. Why would a business just buy just the 13 14 units, as opposed to buying the service or the 15 ability to manage that service? Do you have any 16 understanding about that? 17 MR. BUSBY: Objection. 18 THE WITNESS: It would depend on the 19 individual business, the size and intended use of 20 the products. I -- there are -- there's some 21 discussion in some of the documents about 22 23 24 BY MR. DAVIS: 25 As you sit here today, you don't know

		239
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
2		
3	I, Hanna Kim, a Certified Shorthand	
4	Reporter, do hereby certify:	
5	That prior to being examined, the witness	
6	in the foregoing proceedings was by me duly sworn to	
7	testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing	
8	but the truth;	
9	That said proceedings were taken before me	
10	at the time and place therein set forth and were	
11	taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter	
12	transcribed into typewriting under my direction and	
13	supervision;	
14	I further certify that I am neither	
15	counsel for, nor related to, any party to said	
16	proceedings, not in anywise interested in the	
17	outcome thereof.	
18	In witness whereof, I have hereunto	
19	subscribed my name.	
20		
21	Dated:, 2019	
22		
23	Hanna Vim	
24	Hanna Kim CLR, CSR No. 13083	
25		
1		